Esophagogastrostomy before proximal gastrectomy in patients with early gastric cancers in the upper third of the stomach
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Objective: This study was designed to assess the outcome of esophagogastrostomy before proximal gastrectomy in patients with early gastric cancers in the upper third of the stomach.

Methods: From 1997 through 2004, we studied 10 consecutive patients. A stapler was introduced into the stomach, and an esophagogastrostomy was performed before proximal gastrectomy. Hill's posterior gastropey and Dor's anterior fundic wrap were performed to prevent reflux esophagitis.

Results: The operation time was 171 ± 44 minutes, and the intraoperative bleeding volume was 294 ± 228 mL. There was no anastomotic leakage. Anastomotic stenosis, occurring in 40% of the patients, required endoscopic balloon dilatation. Symptoms of reflux esophagitis, occurring in 40% of the patients, resolved within 2 years after operation. As compared with the preoperative value, body mass index was significantly decreased 1 and 2 years after operation, but was similar at 3 to 5 years. The percent decrease in body weight after operation fluctuated between 6% and 8% between 2 and 5 years. Postoperative weight loss was thus mild.

Conclusions: Esophagogastrostomy before proximal gastrectomy may be less invasive, simpler, and produce better outcomes than conventional procedures for the surgical treatment of early gastric cancer in the upper third of the stomach.
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INTRODUCTION

Surgical procedures for resection and reconstruction in patients with resectable gastric cancer are dictated by tumor size, location, and whether resection margins are macroscopically and microscopically free of tumor. Tumors confined to the proximal third of the stomach are generally treated by total gastrectomy to ensure adequate resection margins. Reconstruction after proximal gastrectomy can be done by esophagogastrostomy [1-3], interposition of a jejunal pouch [4, 5], or the jejunal-pouch double-tract technique [6]. Whether functional outcomes after proximal gastrectomy are better than those after total gastrectomy with reconstruction remains controversial. We developed a modified procedure for esophagogastrostomy before proximal gastrectomy. We describe this procedure and the results of postoperative follow-up.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Esophagogastrostomy was indicated in patients with gastric cancer who were scheduled to undergo curative resection of one third or less of the proximal stomach, with preservation of the distal two thirds or more of the stomach.

From 1997 through 2004, we studied 10 consecutive patients who underwent proximal gastrectomy for stage Ia [7] gastric cancer arising in the upper third of the stomach. Operation time, intraoperative blood loss, starting date of oral intake, white blood cell counts, lymphocyte counts, hemoglobin levels, total protein, serum albumin, total cholesterol, body mass index, and percent decrease in body weight as compared with the preoperative value were examined for 5 years after operation.

The t test was used for statistical analysis. P values of less than 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

Surgical procedures

Surgery was performed as follows. The distal margins were at least 3 cm below the tumor (Fig. 1A). The esophagus was divided, and a stapler was inserted into the stomach. An end-to-side esophagogastrostomy was performed (Fig. 1B). To perform proximal gastrectomy, a linear stapler was placed and fired across the upper third of the stomach (Fig. 1C).

After 2-0 nylon purse-string sutures were placed at the transection line of the esophagus, the esophagus was sharply transected. The anvil of a CEEA stapler (US Surgical Corp., Norwalk, Conn., USA) was inserted into the proximal end of the esophagus (Fig. 2A). The CEEA stapler was inserted through the distal end of the esophagus into the stomach. The center rod was exposed from the greater curvature of the upper body of the stomach and connected to the anvil. An end-to-side direct anastomosis was performed between the esophagus and the greater curvature of the upper body of the stomach (Fig. 2B). The upper third of the...
stomach was tightly held to resect the tumor, including a safety margin marked with fixed sutures. A proximal gastrectomy was performed with a TA stapler (Fig. 2C and 2D). Interrupted, nonabsorbable serous-muscular sutures (3-0 silk) were placed at the transection line of the stomach and the site of esophagostomy. A pyloroplasty was performed manually. To prevent sliding hernia at the site of esophagostomy, the dorsal anastomosis was sutured to the median arcuate liga-
ment with 2 to 3 interrupted serous-muscular sutures, according to Hill’s posterior gastropexy. A cardioplasty was performed by Dor’s anterior fundic wrap technique. The serous membrane of the greater curvature of the stomach near the anastomosis was sutured to the anterior wall of the abdominal esophagus with 2 to 3 sutures (3-0 silk).

RESULTS

The clinicopathological details of the patients are summarized in Table 1. Both mucosal and submucosal cancers were found in 5 patients. Histopathologically, 2 patients had papillary adenocarcinomas, and 8 had tubular adenocarcinomas. None of the patients had lymph node metastasis. The disease stage was thus stage Ia [7]. The age of the patients ranged from 59 to 78 years. Nine of the 10 patients were men. Preoperative body weight was 62.4 ± 7.9 kg (range, 47.6 to 74.2 kg). Body mass index was 23.6 ± 1.8. Operation time was 171 ± 44 minutes. Intraoperative bleeding volume was 294 ± 228 ml. Oral intake was started 8 ± 0.8 days after operation. There was no anastomotic leakage. Anastomotic stenosis developed
in 4 patients (40%), who required endoscopic balloon dilatation one or two times during 1 to 6 months after operation. Heartburn due to reflux esophagitis was reported by 4 patients (40%). These patients had mild esophagitis on endoscopy. Symptoms were controlled by conservative treatment with antacids for up to 2 years after surgery.

White blood cell counts, lymphocyte counts, and hemoglobin, total protein, serum albumin, and total cholesterol levels were essentially stable and showed no substantial changes from preoperative levels in most patients during the 5 years after operation.

Body mass index was 23.6 ± 1.8 before operation, 21.3 ± 2.1 at 1 year, 21.9 ± 1.2 at 2 years, 22.2 ± 1.5 at 3 years, 22.3 ± 1.4 at 4 years, and 22.0 ± 1.6 at 5 years. The values at 1 years and 2 years were significantly lower than the preoperative value (both p < 0.05) (Fig. 3), whereas there were no significant differences at 3 to 5 years. As compared with the preoperative value, the percent decrease in body weight was 9.0 ± 6.2 at 1 year, 8.5 ± 6.3 at 2 years, 6.7 ± 6.6 at 3 years, 6.3 ± 5.9 at 4 years, and 7.1 ± 7.1% at 5 years. The percent decrease in body weight after operation thus ranged from 6% to 9% (Fig. 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Total gastrectomy with extended lymph node dissection has been recommended for the treatment of early gastric cancer in the proximal third of the stomach [8, 9]. Recent studies have shown that early gastric cancer in the proximal third of the stomach is free of metastases to the suprapyloric and infrapyloric lymph nodes, with similar survival and recurrence rates in patients undergoing proximal gastrectomy and those undergoing total gastrectomy [10-12]. Both procedures were shown to be equally safe. Although proximal gastrectomy and total gastrectomy were shown to be similar in terms of survival and recurrence, further studies are necessary to assess patients’ nutritional status and quality of life. Some studies have demonstrated an improved quality of life after subtotal gastrectomy as compared with total gastrectomy [13, 14], whereas others have shown that proximal gastrectomy results in a poorer quality of life than total and distal subtotal gastrectomy [15]. A previous study showed that proximal gastrectomy with gastric-tube reconstruction had a shorter operation time [1-3] and less blood loss [1, 3] than jejunal interposition in patients with gastric cancer. Our study demonstrated that esophagogastrectomy had an operation time of less than 3 hours and an operative blood loss of less than 300 ml. Esophagogastrectomy was a safe procedure, with no anastomotic leakage.

Interposition of a jejunal pouch [4, 5] and the jejunal-pouch double-tract technique [6] have been used for reconstruction after proximal gastrectomy. Because construction of a small-bowel reservoir after total gastrectomy improves early postoperative food intake, body weight, and the quality of life [16], pouch reconstruction is considered useful for patients undergoing wide proximal gastrectomy, with preservation of less than two thirds of the stomach rather than those undergoing limited resection. Esophagogastrectomy is indicated for patients who undergo curative resection of one third or less of the stomach, with preservation of two thirds or more of the stomach, ensuring adequate dietary intake. Esophagogastrectomy requires fewer anastomotic sites than jejunal pouch interposition and jejunal-pouch double-tract technique. As compared with these procedures, we found that esophagogastrectomy before proximal gastrectomy was simpler and easier to perform.
Anastomotic stenosis and heartburn due to reflux esophagitis are well-known postoperative disturbances after proximal gastrectomy for cancer arising in the upper third of the stomach. Several studies have reported that anastomotic stenosis can be effectively managed by endoscopic balloon dilatation, and reflux esophagitis successfully treated with antacids [1, 2]. In our study, anastomotic stenosis, which occurred in 40% of the patients after esophagogastrostomy, required treatment by endoscopic dilatation within 6 months after operation. Two studies have reported that the incidence of reflux esophagitis is low in patients receiving Roux-en Y reconstruction after total gastrectomy [17, 18]. In both of these studies, a distance of 40 to 50 cm was left between the esophagus and the Roux-en Y anastomosis. In our study, symptoms due to reflux esophagitis, reported by 40% of the patients after esophagogastrostomy, responded to conservative treatment with antacids within 2 years after operation. Hill’s posterior gastropexy and the anterior fundic wrap of Dor appeared to prevent esophagogastric reflux.

White blood cell counts, lymphocyte counts, hemoglobin levels, and blood chemical findings such as total protein, serum albumin, and total cholesterol levels were essentially stable, and in most patients did not substantially differ from preoperative levels during the first 5 years after operation. Total or subtotal gastrectomy causes nutritional disturbances due to delayed gastric emptying and reduced gastric motility, often resulting in severe weight loss. Energy intake has been shown to be significantly related to increased body mass index after operation. A previous study reported that body mass index was normal preoperatively, but decreased until the sixth postoperative month, subsequently stabilizing or increasing slightly within 2 years after operation [19]. In our study, body mass index 1 and 2 years after operation was significantly lower than the preoperative level (both \( p < 0.05 \)), whereas there were no significant differences at 3 to 5 years. Patients lose weight during the first 3 to 6 months after total gastrectomy. Weight loss often exceeds 10% of preoperative body weight. Weight changes beyond 6 months are more variable [16]. The percent decrease in body weight after operation was lowest at 1 year (9%), and then fluctuated between 6% and 8%. Postoperative weight loss was thus mild.

We conclude that esophagogastrostomy before proximal gastrectomy may be less invasive, simpler, and produce better outcomes than conventional procedures for the surgical treatment of early gastric cancer arising in the upper third of the stomach.
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