Increase in Antinociceptive Effect of [Leu]$^5$Enkephalin after Intrathecal Administration of Mixture of Three Peptidase Inhibitors
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INTRODUCTION

Endogenous opioid peptides play a vital role in the regulation of many physiological functions, including antinociception [1]. Enkephalins undergo rapid enzymatic degradation by 5 types of peptidase [2, 3]: 1) aminopeptidase N (EC 3.4.11.2, APN, also known as CD15), which cleaves the Tyr–Gly amide bond; 2) membrane bound-dipeptidyl peptidase III (EC 3.4.14.4, DPP), which hydrolyzes the Gly–Gly bond; 3) dipeptidyl carboxypeptidase (EC 3.4.15.1, also known as the angiotensin-converting enzyme known as ACE); 4) neutral endopeptidase (EC 3.4.24.11, NEP, also known as neprilysin, enkephalinase, or CD10), which cleaves the Gly–Phe bond; and 5) carboxypeptidase A (EC 3.4.17.1)(Fig.1).

[Leu]$^5$enkephalin (LE) incubated with ileal or striatal membrane fraction for 60 min at 37℃ remains intact in the presence of only three peptidase inhibitors (PIs) of five ones: amastatin (an aminopeptidase inhibitor), captopril (a dipeptidyl carboxypeptidase inhibitor), or phosphoramidon (an endopeptidase-24.11 inhibitor). It is, however, completely hydrolyzed after incubation in the absence of these PIs [4]. This suggests that these 3 membrane-bound peptidases play a role in the degradation of LE. The relative importance of three enzymes in the inactivation of LE has been also examined in three in vitro isolated preparations: guinea-pig ileum, mouse vas deferens, and rat vas deferens. The results showed that APN played the greatest role in both guinea-pig ileum and rat vas deferens, while it played a similar role to either NEP or ACE in mouse vas deferens [5]. In addition to LE, a mixture of three PIs largely prevented the hydrolysis of endogenous opioid peptides [Met]$^5$enkephalin (ME), [Met]$^5$enkephalin-Arg$_2$–Phe$_7$ (ME-RF), [Met]$^5$ enkephalin-Arg$_2$–Gly$_2$–Leu$_6$ (ME-RGL), and dynorphin A (1–8)(dyn(1–8)) in cerebral membrane preparation [4, 6–8]. Additionally, the close proximity of these enzymes to opioid receptors in isolated preparations such as guinea pig ileum [9], mouse vas deferens [10], and rat vas deferens [11], suggests that they act to terminate the physiological action of these endogenous opioid peptides.

It has been suggested that hydrolysis of LE by amastatin-, phosphoramidon-, or captopril-sensitive enzymes produces fragments such as free Tyr, [Tyr–Gly–Gly], [des-Tyr], and [des-Tyr-Gly–Gly] which display very low, if any, agonist activity at opioid receptors [12]. Therefore, hydrolysis of LE by these three peptidases...
should yield a decrease in its potency. In fact, the potency of LE in *in vitro* isolated preparations was found to show a significant increase by exposure to amastatin, phosphoramidon, or captopril [4, 5].

Several reports have shown that a single PI or two PIs augmented enkephalin-induced antinociception. However, the partial analgesic potency of enkephalin may have only been estimated in these studies, as *in vivo* studies have demonstrated that significant amounts of enkephalins are still hydrolyzed by any combination of two peptidase inhibitors. In fact, antinociception induced by intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.) administration of dyn (1-8), ME-RF, or ME-RGL was increased more than 100-fold by i.c.v. pretreatment with three PIs [13-15].

One of the most frequently used tests of nociception has been tail flick. Tail flick response is known to be a spinal reflex, but is likely modulated by descending influences from the brainstem [16]. Previous our study demonstrated that i.c.v. administration of LE was increased more than 500-fold by i.c.v. pretreatment with three PIs using tail flick test. We made hypothesis antinociceptive effects induced by intrathecal (i.t.) administration of LE pretreated with PIs may be more potent than those by i.c.v. administration. The effect of pretreatment with PIs on antinociception induced by i.t. administration of LE were investigated in this study to compare them with i.c.v. administration of LE or those of other opioid peptides and evaluate the real analgesic potency of spinal levels of LE.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals**

LE, amastatin (A), and phosphoramidon (P) were purchased from Peptide Institute Inc. (Minoh, Japan). Captopril (C), CTOP (D-Phe -Cys - Tyr - D-Trp - Orn - Thr - Pen - Thr - NH₂; µ receptor antagonist), naltrindole hydrochloride (δ receptor antagonist), and norbinaltorphimine dihydrochloride (κ receptor antagonist) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Japan (Tokyo, Japan). All chemicals except naltrindole hydrochloride and norbinaltorphimine dihydrochloride were dissolved in saline immediately before use. Naltrindole hydrochloride and norbinaltorphimine dihydrochloride were dissolved in water immediately before use.

**Intrathecal administration**

The present animal experiments were performed in strict accordance with the guidelines of Tokai University, and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Tokai University. Male Wistar rats (180-220 g each; Nihon Clea, Tokyo, Japan) were implanted with intrathecal catheters under inhalation anesthesia with nitrous oxide, oxygen, and isoflurane (2%). An 8.5-cm polyethylene catheter (PE-10; Clay Adams, Parsippany, NJ, USA) was inserted caudally at the thoracolumbar level of the spinal cord in the intrathecal space through an incision in the atlanto-occipital membrane [17]. The external part of the catheter was tunneled subcutaneously to exit from the top of the skull and was plugged with a 30-gauge steel wire. After surgery, all rats were housed individually in a temperature- and light-controlled environment with free access to food and water. Only rats with normal motor function and behavior were used for the study 7 days later. The polyethylene catheter was attached to a motor-driven, 50-µl microsyringe by polyethylene tubing (PE-20; Clay Adams, Parsippany, NJ, USA). Drugs were injected at a volume of 10 µl followed by 10 µl saline over 1 min. LE was injected into intrathecal space of rats at 10 min following administration of single, two combination of or three mixture of peptidase inhibitors — amastatin, captopril and phosphoramidon — via the same route. After tail flick test, the distribution of the drug solution in the spinal system was verified by infusion of 0.3% Evans blue dissolved in saline after the experiment (Fig. 2).

**Tail-flick test**

Induction of antinociception by LE was measured by the tail immersion assay, with 55 °C as the nocicep-
tive stimulus [18]. The latency to flick the tail from the 55 °C water was measured before and at 5, 10, 15, 30, 45, and 60 min after administration. The latency to flick the tail before administration was approximately 1 sec. A cut-off time of 5 sec was used to prevent any injury to the tail. The percent of maximal possible effect (MPE) for each animal at each time was calculated using the following formula: %MPE = [(test latency – baseline latency)/(5 – baseline latency)] x100. The area under the curve (AUC) value for the antinociceptive action of the drug on each rat was calculated for some of the experiments.

Statistical analysis
The results are given as the mean and standard error of the mean (S.E.M.) of the data. The statistical analysis was conducted using computer software (Prism, version 5.0c, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) for a comparison across the experimental conditions. When a significant difference among the %MPE data during the experiment after drug administration was obtained in a two-way (drugs and time) repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA), Dunn’s multiple comparison test was applied to determine the significance at each time point. When a significant difference among the groups of AUC data was obtained in a two-way (drugs and dose) ANOVA, Dunn’s multiple comparison test was applied to determine the significance at each dose. When a significant difference within groups was obtained in the Kruskal-Wallis test, Dunn’s comparison test was applied to determine significance.

RESULTS
Effects of PIs on LE-induced inhibition of tail-flick response
Figs. 3-I and 3-II show change over time in LE-induced antinociception from 10 min following i.t. administration of saline or a mixture of the three PIs (10 nmol each). The results showed a dose-dependent and prolonged antinociceptive effect on the tail-flick response. The AUC_{0-45min} value demonstrated that induction of antinociception by LE at doses of 500, 200, 100, 50, or 10 nmol under pretreatment with a mixture of the three PIs was significantly greater than that with the PIs alone (Fig. 3-III). The AUC_{0-60min} value also showed that the antinociceptive effect of LE at doses of 500, 200, or 100 nmol under pretreatment with a mixture of the three PIs was significantly greater than that with the PIs alone (Fig. 3-IV). A significant change was also observed in antinociception following i.t. administration of a mixture of the PIs (10 nmol each) alone (Fig. 3-III). The AUC_{0-45min} value also showed that the antinociceptive effect of LE at doses of 500, 200, or 100 nmol under pretreatment with a mixture of the three PIs was significantly greater than that with the PIs alone (Fig. 3-III). A significant change was also observed in antinociception following i.t. administration of a mixture of the PIs (10 nmol each) alone (Fig. 3-III). The antinociceptive effect of i.t. administration of 10 nmol LE with a mixture of the three PIs (10 nmol each) had the same onset, offset, and duration of action as that with 1000 nmol LE alone (Fig. 4-I). The AUC_{0-45min} value for %MPE of 10 nmol LE with a mixture of the three PIs (10 nmol each) was approximately equal to that for 1000 nmol LE alone (Fig. 4-II). Thus, i.t. administration of LE under i.t. pretreatment with the three PIs (10 nmol each) induced a 100-fold increase in the antinociceptive effect on the tail-flick response. However, it is possible that the potency of LE is increased more than 100-fold by the three PIs, as the potency of LE at doses of more than 1000 nmol in rats not treated with PIs could not be estimated due to the unavailability of high concen-
trations of LE owing to its low solubility.

Pretreatment with a mixture of the three PIs (1, 3, 10, or 30 nmol) dose-dependently increased the antinociceptive effects induced by LE (10 nmol) (Fig. 5). The antinociceptive potency of LE (10 nmol) under pretreatment with the three PIs at doses of 3, 10, or 30 nmol was significantly greater than that of LE (10 nmol) alone (Fig. 5-II).

Effects of single PI or two peptidase inhibitor combinations of PIs (AC, AP, CP) on LE-induced antinociceptive effects

To examine the effect of a single PI (A, C, P) on LE (10 nmol)-induced antinociception, amastatin (A), captopril (C), or phosphoramidon (P) was administered i.t. at a dose of 10 nmol. Each PI significantly increased the magnitude of LE-induced antinociception (Fig. 6-I, 6-III). To examine the effect of two PIs on LE (10 nmol)-induced antinociception, combinations of PIs (AC, AP, or CP) were administered i.t. The LE-induced antinociceptive effect induced by any combination of PIs was significantly lower than that of the three PIs together (ACP) (Fig. 6-II, 6-IV). These results indicate that any residual single peptidase inactivates substantial amounts of LE in rat at the spinal level.

Effects of antagonists on combination of PIs and LE-induced inhibition of tail-flick response

To investigate the effect of opioid receptor antagonists on LE-induced antinociception in the presence of a mixture of PIs, 10 nmol i.t. CTOP, 66 nmol i.t. naltrindole hydrochloride (NTI), or 10 mg/kg subcutaneous norbinaltorphimine dihydrochloride (nor-BNI) was administered. Five or 20 min following administration of CTOP or NTI, a mixture of the three PIs was administered. Twenty-four hours following administration of nor-BNI, PIs were administered i.t. The antinociceptive potency of LE under pretreatment with PIs was significantly attenuated by CTOP or NTI; it was also attenuated by nor-BNI, but not significantly so (Fig. 7).

**DISCUSSION**

The AUC\(_0-60\) min value for antinociception with i.t. administration of 10 nmol LE pretreatment
with PIs was approximately 2500 (present study) and 1800 (our earlier study [19]), respectively. These results indicate that antinociception induced by i.t. administration of LE pretreated with PIs is more potent than those by i.c.v. administration. The results of the present study showed that pretreatment with a mixture of three PIs produced an at least 100-fold augmentation in antinociception induced by i.t. administration of LE in rat. An earlier study demonstrated that pretreatment with a mixture of three PIs produced an approximately 500-fold augmentation of this effect by i.c.v. administration of LE [19]. On the other hand, in another study, the inhibitory potency of LE in isolated guinea pig ileum and mouse vas deferens was increased by approximately 6.3- and 7.6-fold, respectively [20]. Whereas LE did not induce analgesia when injected alone, it did so strongly at low doses when co-injected with peptidase inhibitors [21]. Taken together with the fact that the potency of LE should be decreased by its hydrolysis by these three PIs [8], these results provide further support for the view that amastatin-, captopril-, and phosphoramidon-sensitive enzymes play an important role in inactivation of LE at both the spinal and supraspinal level [19].

A significant dose-dependent change was observed in antinociception following i.t. administration of a mixture of the three PIs alone. This is in good agreement with the results of studies using RB-101, a compound that combines one APN inhibitor and one NEP inhibitor linked by their mercapto groups. Intravenous or intraperitoneal administration of RB-101 induced an antinociceptive response in the hot plate and writhing tests in mouse and the tail flick test in rat [22].

The AUC$_{0-60min}$ value for antinociception with i.t. administration of 10 nmol ME and LE was approximately 4000 (Murata et al., in submission) and 2500 (present study), respectively. The AUC$_{0-45min}$ value for antinociception with i.c.v. administration of 1 nmol ME and LE was approximately 2100 (Murata et al., in submission) and 1000 [19], respectively. This indicates that ME is approximately twice as potent as LE in its antinociceptive effect. This is consistent with the results of other investigations indicating that the affinity of ME was approximately twice as potent as that of LE [23]. Taken together, these results suggest that co-administration of a mixture of three PIs allows for evaluation of the real analgesic potency of opioid peptides at the spinal or supraspinal level.

In the present study, administration of a single PI or any combination of two PIs revealed that any single residual peptidase inactivates substantial amounts of LE. On the other hand, several studies have shown that a single PI or two PIs augmented enkephalin-induced antinociception [24–27]. However, the cur-
rent results strongly suggest that those studies may have only estimated the partial analgesic potency of enkephalins, including LE. Several lines of evidence support this. First, the antinociceptive effect induced by i.c.v. administration of dyn(1–8), ME, ME-RF, or ME-RGL is inactivated by any residual single peptidase [4, 6–8]. Second, NEP was localized to discrete regions of the gray matter at all spinal cord levels [28]. The substantia gelatinosa displayed rich NEP staining which overlapped the inner and outer zones of lamina II. The regional distribution of NEP overlapped that of enkephalin and substance-P rich regions of the spinal cord. These findings indicate a role for NEP in the metabolic regulation of opioid peptides in spinal cord [29]. Third, APN has been found to be involved in the degradation of enkephalins in association with NEP [23]. A high concentration of APN was observed in regions of the gray matter at dorsal and ventral horn [30]. These findings indicate that the co-localization of APN, NEP and enkephalins plays a key role in control of nociception in spinal cord. Fourth, 85–90% of the metabolism of LE in rat plasma is due to the combined action of bestatin-sensitive aminopeptidase M and captopril-sensitive ACE [31]. Approximately 80%, 10%, 6%, and 3% of the metabolism of LE in human plasma is due to aminopeptidases, dipeptidylaminopeptidases, dipeptidylcarboxypeptidases, and carboxypeptidases, respectively [32]. In the presence of human saliva, LE was partially hydrolyzed by aminopeptidases, dipeptidylaminopeptidases, and dipeptidylcarboxypeptidases [33].

The present results showed the involvement of μ and δ opioid receptors in antinociception induced by i.t. administration of LE, as suggested by the fact that CTOP and NTI significantly attenuated antinociception. This is consistent with the results of other investigations. First, comparative study of pA2 values has shown that μ-opioid receptors were involved in antinociception induced by i.c.v. administration of LE under i.c.v. pretreatment with the three PIs [19]. Second, the Ki values of LE are 3.4 and 4.0 nM for μ and δ opioid receptor, respectively, indicating that LE has almost the same affinity to μ opioid and δ opioid receptors [34]. Third, the μ, δ, and κ opioid receptors were found to be distributed at approximately 70%, 20%, and 10%, respectively, in rat spinal cord [35, 36]. Fourth, activation of μ or δ opioid receptors inhibited the amplitude of the A δ fiber- or C fiber-evoked excitation postsynaptic current in rat spinal cord [37-39] μ opioid receptors alone were proposed to be preferentially involved in supraspinal antinociception (hot plate and writhing tests), but both μ and δ opioid receptors were implicated in spinal antinociception (tail-flick and motor response to electrical stimulation).
Fifth, high levels of NEP and µ opioid receptor binding sites were detected at the level of periaqueductal gray and in the substantia gelatinosa of the spinal cord, where only sparse δ opioid receptors could be detected [28]. The co-distribution of peptidases, opioid-binding sites and enkephalins, along with the physiological effects of PI, strongly supports the view that peptidases are mainly involved in terminating enkephalinergic signals [28, 41]. Sixth, the presence of µ opioid receptors was found to be essential in the antinociceptive action of δ-selective opioid agonists in µ opioid receptor knockout animals [42].

In conclusion, the present results showed that LE-induced antinociception was increased by more than 100-fold under pretreatment with three peptidase inhibitors in a µ and δ opioid receptor antagonist-reversible manner at the spinal level in rat. The antinociceptive effect produced by LE under pretreatment with any combination of two peptidase inhibitors was smaller than that with three peptidase inhibitors. These findings indicate that amastatin-, captopril-, and phosphoramidon-sensitive enzymes play an important role in the inactivation of LE at the spinal level.
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