A Case of Foreign Body Granuloma Induced by Subcutaneous Injection of Leuprorelin Acetate —Clinical Analysis for 335 Cases in Our Hospital—

Mayu KAWAI^{*1}, Norihiro IKOMA^{*1}, Azusa YAMADA^{*1}, Tami OTA^{*1}, Yasuaki MANABE^{*1}, Masayuki KATO^{*1}, Tomotaka MABUCHI^{*1}, Akira OZAWA^{*1}, Taro HIGURE^{*2} and Toshiro TERACHI^{*2}

Departments of *1 Dermatology and *2 Urology, Tokai University School of Medicine

(Received March 27, 2014; Accepted June 9, 2014)

We experienced a case of granuloma formation by subcutaneous injection of leuprorelin acetate for treatment of prostate cancer. This patient was an 80-year-old man visiting the clinic of gastroenterological surgery as an outpatient after gastric cancer surgery with a one-week' s history of rash on the abdomen. Based on the history of gastric cancer and prostate cancer, though ultrasonography and CT were performed, the possibility of metastatic skin tumor could still not be ruled out. Finally, finding of a foreign-body granuloma in the subcutaneous adipose tissue was recognized histological. Then, an interview with the patient revealed that he had received subcutaneous injection of a 3-month depot formulation of leupurorelin acetate at the site of the lesion about two months earlier. Among urologists, as side effects for treatment, foreign body granuloma induced by subcutaneous injection of leuprorelin maybe well known. Therefore, it is tried to analyze as to clinical findings, especially granuloma formation for 335 cases that received leuprorelin acetate treatment at our hospital. In this report, we analyzed reported case and 335 cases that received leuprorelin acetate treatment at our hospital and summarized the cases that developed the granuloma formation by it.

Key words: leuprorelin acetate, foreign body granuloma, prostate cancer

INTRODUCTION

Leuprorelin acetate is a luteinizing hormone releasing hormone (LH-RH) agonist; it depletes luteinizing hormone from the pituitary gland and indirectly inhibits the secretion of testosterone. It is the standard therapy for prostate cancer at present. The hormone is available for clinical use as 1-month and 3-month formulations; patients are often switched from the 1-month formulation to the 3-month formulation depending on the clinical course. We encountered a patient who developed a subcutaneous tumor, skin tumor, and ulceration at the injection site after receiving a subcutaneous injection of a 3-month depot formulation of leuprorelin acetate for prostate cancer. We analyzed reported cases and 335 cases that received treatment with leuprorelin acetate at our hospital and report it because we summarized the cases that developed granuloma formation thereby.

CASE REPORT

An 80-year-old man noticed a rash on the lower right abdomen about one week before he visited the department of gastroenterological surgery for followup as an outpatient after gastric cancer surgery. As skin metastasis from gastric cancer was suspected, he was referred to our department in January 2013. The patient had a history of gastric cancer (surgery at age 54 years) and prostate cancer (79 years). He did not have any relevant family history.

At the initial visit, he was found to have a subcutaneous mass in the right lower abdomen; on inspection, the mass appeared as a slightly ill-defined bulge measuring about 5×3 cm in size, with slight erythema but mostly normal in the right hypogastric region; on palpation, a well-defined mass, elastic-hard in consistency, was palpable; it was attached to the skin but not adherent to the underlying tissues (Fig. 1). There was no tenderness. As the patient had a history of gastric cancer and prostate cancer, ultrasonography and CT test were performed considering the possible clinical diagnosis of metastatic skin tumor. Ultrasonography was showed an ill-defined, lobulated, hypoechoic heterogeneous tumor in the subcutaneous tissue (Fig. 2). A Doppler study showed abundant blood flow. Based on the ultrasonography findings, metastatic skin tumor was suspected. CT was showed a soft shadow along the right lower abdominal wall. The boundary with the abdominal rectus muscle was maintained by adipose tissues, but it was unclear in parts (Fig. 3). As the possibility of metastatic skin tumor could still not be ruled out by these imaging examinations, skin biopsy was performed.

Histopathological finding was showed a non-caseating granuloma consisting of lymphocytes, epithelioid cells, and foreign body giant cells in the subcutaneous

Mayu KAWAI, Department of Dermatology, Tokai University School of Medicine, 143 Shimokasuya, Isehara, Kanagawa 259-1193, Japan Tel: +81-463-93-1121 Fax: +81-463-93-9387 E-mail: kmayu@tokai-u.jp



Fig. 1 Clinical appearance: A subcutaneous mass in the right lower abdomen; on inspection, the mass appeared as a slightly ill-defined bulge measuring about 5×3 cm in size, with slight erythema but mostly normal in the right hypogastric region ; on palpation, a well-defined mass, elastic-hard in consistency, was palpable; it was attached to the skin but not adherent to the underlying tissues. There was no tenderness.



Fig. 2 Ultrasonography: An ill-defined, lobulated, hypoechoic heterogeneous tumor was observed in the subcutaneous tissue. A Doppler study showed abundant blood flow.

adipose tissue; numerous vacuoles were observed in the multinucleated giant cell (Fig. 4). No evidence of malignancy was observed. The diagnosis of foreignbody granuloma was considered as the most likely as pyogenic granuloma disease. The possibilities of sarcoidosis and subcutaneous granuloma annulare were considered in the differential diagnosis. An interview with the patient revealed that he had received subcutaneous injection of a 3-month depot formulation of leuprorelin acetate at the site of the lesion about two months prior to his visit. Accordingly, the patient was diagnosed as having a foreign-body granuloma induced by subcutaneous injection of leuprorelin acetate. Avoidance of subcutaneous injection at the same site resulted in a reduction of subcutaneous tumor; however, subcutaneous mass appeared at other sites which received subcutaneous injection. A well-defined skin mass measuring 4×3.5 cm in size was observed at the site of the third injection of a 3-month depot formulation of leuprorelin acetate; the mass appeared as an even bulge and had three oval ulcers up to 10 mm in diameter, with a dark red surface (Fig. 5). On palpation, it was elastic-hard in consistency, and not adherent to the tissues underneath. Histopathological examination of the skin biopsy revealed findings consistent with foreign-body granuloma. As for the patients, it was undergone surgical castration.



Fig. 3 CT: A soft shadow was observed along the right lower abdominal wall. The boundary with the abdominal rectus muscle was maintained by adipose tissues, but it was unclear in parts.



Fig. 4 Histopathological findings: A noncaseating granuloma consisting of lymphocytes, epithelioid cells, and foreign body giant cells was observed in the subcutaneous adipose tissue; numerous vacuoles were observed in the multinucleated giant cell. No evidence of malignancy was observed.



Fig. 5 The site of the third injection of a 3-month depot formulation of leuprorelin acetate: A well-defined skin mass measuring 4×3.5 cm in size was observed; the mass appeared as an even bulge and had three oval ulcers up to 10 mm in diameter, with a dark red surface. On palpation, it was elastic-hard in consistency, and not adherent to the tissues underneath. Histopathological examination of the skin biopsy revealed findings consistent with foreign-body granuloma.

Age	77 y/o (60~90 y/o)
Disease	Prostate cancer 37cases
Site of Lesion	Injection site 37cases
Clinical	Subcutaneous induration 18cases
appearance	Subcutaneous nodules 13cases
	Subcutaneous mass 6cases
	Accompanying ulceration 11cases
Treatment	1-month depot formulation 5cases
	3-month depot formulation 32cases

 Table 1
 Foreign body granuloma induced by subcutaneous injection of leuprorelin acetate

Summary of 37 cases of Japan reported cases of 1999 to 2012 1,4~21)

DISCUSSION

Leuprorelin acetate is a luteinizing hormone releasing hormone (LH-RH) agonist; as it depletes luteinizing hormone from the pituitary gland and indirectly inhibits the secretion of testosterone, it is used in the treatment of sex hormone-dependent diseases [1].

LH-RH agonist formulations indicated for prostate cancer and used in Japan include leuprorelin acetate and goserelin acetate. Depot formulations of leuprorelin acetate are composed of microspheres with a mean diameter of 20 µm, with the drug contained in carriers of lactic acid/glycol acid copolymers or a lactic acid polymer. Both 1-month (3.75 mg) and 3-month (11.25 mg) depot formulations are available. Goserelin acetate is a solid cylindrical depot formulation prepared by heating and pressurizing the drug/copolymer mixture of lactic acid/glycolic acid and goserelin acetate. This drug is also available in 1-month (3.6 mg) and 3-month (10.8 mg) depot formulations [2]. Both formulations release active ingredients in a sustained manner following subcutaneous injection [3]. Leuprorelin acetate is administered by subcutaneous injection into the upper arms, abdomen or buttocks, while goserelin acetate is administered by subcutaneous injection into the abdomen [4]. According to the package insert of the pharmaceutical company, in the post-marketing surveillance, injection site disorders are found in 13%.

In 1999, leuprorelin acetate-induced granuloma was reported for the first time in Japan; thereafter, 37 cases have been reported, including our case reported herein [1, 4–21]. In many patients, the symptom developed when the patients began to receive the 3-month depot formulation (Table 1). One case of goserelin acetate-induced granuloma has been reported [4].

In the cases that the symptom developed when the patients began to receive the 1-month depot formulation, there was it until the case that the symptom developed after 6-year treatment from the case that the symptom developed with the first injection. Of the 5 patients, the rash of 3 was relieved naturally, the passage of the other 2 was unknown.

In the cases that the symptom developed when the patients began to receive the 3-month depot formulation, there was it until the case that the symptom developed after the injection of seven times from the case that the symptom developed with the first injection. The mean number of times was approximately 2.5 times. The case which the symptom developed with the first injection was 12 of 32 cases. The case that the number of times was unidentified was 4 cases.

There were steroid external use and the resected cases, but there were the most spontaneous relieved cases with 15 of 37 cases. The treatment of prostate cancer later, 20 cases was changed to goserelin subcutaneous injection, 4 cases were undergone surgical castration, 1 case was the end of treatment, 12 cases were unknown.

Over the last five years, 335 patients have received treatment with leuprorelin acetate for prostate cancer at Tokai University Hospital, and onset of a rash at the site of injection was observed in 13/335 (3.88%) of these patients. Of the 13 patients, 10 developed the rash after switching from the 1-month depot formulation to the 3-month depot formulation. The patient described in this paper was the only one who visited the clinic of dermatology. Consistent with previous reports, in many patients, the rash developed when they began to receive the 3-month depot formulation (Table 2). The mean number of times before the symptom developing when the patients began to receive the 1-month depot formulation was approximately 1.7 times. The mean number of times before the symptom developing when the patients began to receive the 3-month depot formulation was 2.4 times.

The precise reason for the granuloma formation induced by leuprorelin acetate, particularly the 3-month depot formulation, and the mechanism of formation of the granuloma have not yet been clearly elucidated. The difference between the 3-month formulation of leuprorelin acetate and 1-month formulation or goserelin acetate lies in the amount of the base compound and base components [2]. While a number of studies have been carried out, Yamada *et al.* [3] carried out a morphological examination of the granuloma using a transmission electron microscope (TEM) and confirmed the occurrence of foreign body-type reaction in the adipose tissues denatured by microcapsules and drugs.

Two cases of granuloma formation at sites of intramuscular injection of leuprorelin acetate have been reported from overseas [21, 22]. The reason for the lower number of reports from overseas than that from Japan is that the mode of administration in Japan is different from that used overseas; that is, the primary

	Onset of a rash at the site of injection
	13/335cases (3.88%)
1-month depot formulation (95cases)	Subcutaneous induration 3cases (3.16%)
3-month depot formulation (240cases)	Subcutaneous induration 8cases (3.33%)
	Ulceration 1case (0.42%)
	Subcutaneous mass and ulceration 1 case (0.42%)

 Table 2
 Foreign body granuloma induced by subcutaneous injection of leuprorelin acetate

Summary of 335 cases of leuprorelin acetate treatment in cases of prostate cancer for the past five years in Tokai University Hospital

route of administration in Japan is the subcutaneous route, while the intramuscular route is used more frequently overseas [2]. With the goal of preventing muscle and nerve damage, the intramuscular route for leuprorelin acetate injection is not employed in Japan [5].

As leuprorelin acetate is frequently used in the treatment of prostate cancer, attention should be paid to the possibility of granuloma formation at the injection sites. Based on past reports, it is presumed that a change in the administration method from the subcutaneous route to the intramuscular route might reduce the frequency of granuloma formation. It is considered necessary to review the mode of administration of this drug product in Japan.

The abstract of this manuscript was reported at the 849th Meeting of Tokyo division of the Japanese Dermatological Association, 2013.

REFERENCES

- Munenobu M, Chincho T, Shigeho S. Two cases of granuloma caused by subcutaneous infusion of leuprorelin acetate. Japanese journal of clinical dermatology 1999; 53: 801–803 (in Japanese).
- Tamotsu E. The skin disorder caused by leuprorelin acetate. Hifu Rinsho 2012; 54: 1491–1495 (in Japanese).
- Nanako Y. Diagnosis and pathology of foreign body (druginduced) granuloma. Jap J Derm 2012; 122: 3489–3491 (in Japanese).
- 4) Masaki S, Noriaki T, Takehiro K, Humio Y. Injection Site Granulomas Resulting from the Administration of Both Leuprorelin Acetate and Goserelin Acetate for the Treatment of Prostatic Cancer. Nihon Ika Daigaku Igakkai zasshi 2007; 74: 306-308.
- 5) Risa T, Takeru F, Masataka S, Manabu O, Tamotsu E. A case of foreign body granulomadeveloped at the subcutaneous injection sites of leuprorelin acetate 2009; 63: 984-987 (in Japanese).
- Chieko S, Junko K, Tetsuya M, Yoshie M, Ikumi T, Kozo Y, Yasuo K. A case of leuprorelin acetate granuloma. JPN J Dermatoallergol 2005; 13: 138-143.
- Kae A, Yukari O, Shinichi O, Ryoji T. A case of subcutaneous nodules caused by the injection of leuprorelin acetate. JPN J Dermatoallergol 2005; 14: 30–34.
- Takasi N, Hiromichi K, Yosimasa J. A case of skin disorders due to three months sustained release agent subcutaneous injection of leuprorelin acetate. Muramatsu Sogo Byoin Igaku Zasshi 2008; 25: 3–5 (in Japanese).

- Kazumichi O, Yoshihiro A, Takuji I, Kazuhiro M, Osamu S, Yasukazu S, Kenji Y, Naotami T. Subcutaneous granuloma with formation caused by a slow-release leuprorelin acetate preparation: A case report. Nishinihon J. Urol 2006; 68: 267–269.
- 10) M Okuda, S Miyayama, S Konishi, M Yamashiro, Y Yoshie, N Sugimori, S Igarashi, Y Nakashima. Foreign body granulomas due to the injection of leuprorelin acetate for the treatment of prostatic cancer: a case report. Japanese Journal of Clinical Radiology 2009; 54: 673–678.
- Jiro H, Hideki A, Hiroki H. A case of cutaneous epithelioid granulomas caused by subcutaneous injection of leuprorelin acetate. Japanese Journal of Clinical Urology 2008; 62: 423–425.
- 12) Masahiro H, Yoko I, Saeko Y. A case of granuloma caused by leuprorelin acetate subcutaneous injection. Hifu Rinsho 2006; 48: 1055–1057 (in Japanese).
- 13) Tokue K, Tsuyoshi M, Sachiko N, Seiji K. A case of granuloma caused by injections of leuprorelin acetate. Japanese Journal of Clinical Dermatology 2006; 60: 1013–1016.
- 14) Nobuhiro D, Kazutoshi H, Tatsuyoshi K, Naotaka S, Shinji S. Granuloma by leuprorelin acetate. Practical Dermatology 2007; 29: 809–812.
- 15) Masaki S, Noriaki T, Takehiro K, Humio Y. Injection-site granulomas due to the administration of leuprorelin acetate for the treatment of prostatic cancer. Fukuoka Acta Med 2007; 98: 301– 304.
- 16) Naoki O, Shoji M, Michihiro H. A case of granuloma caused by leuprorelin acetate subcutaneous injection. Hifu Rinsho 2006; 48: 781–783 (in Japanese).
- 17) Eriko T, Akiko N, Keiichiro H. Granulomas caused by leuprorelin acetate subcutaneous injection. Hifu Rinsho 2006; 48: 411-415 (in Japanese).
- 18) Taku S, Hidetsugu F, Ryozo S, Osamu U. Injection-site granulomas in two patients given leuprorelin acetate. Journal of the Medical Society of Toho University 2008; 55: 472–477.
- 19) Ryoko S, Yuko H, Kentaro M, Takuro K, Tamotsu K. Granulomas induced by subcutaneous injection of leuprorelin acetate. J Dermatol 2006; 1: 43-45.
- 20) Takeshi O, Tetushi K, Naho M, Makoto S. Granuloma caused by subcutaneous injection of leuprorelin acetate product: Case report and histopathological findings. J Dermatol 2006; 33: 719– 721.
- 21) Koreto Y, Yasuyuki S, Kishiro K. A case of foreign body granuloma caused by injection of leuprorelin acetate depot. 2008; 7: 179–182.
- 22) Vinson EN, Braga-Baiak A, Dodd LG, Martinez S. Imaging of recurrent intramuscular granulomatous masses induced by depot injection of leuprorelin. Skeltal Radiol 2012; 41: 347–352
- 23) Vieu C, Arnault JP, Petitpain N, Cuny JF, Barbaud A, Plenat F, Schmutz JL. Granuloma at the injection site of leuprorelin acetate: foreign body reaction to polylactic acid. Ann Dermatol Venereol 2007; 134: 771–773.